

CONTINUOUS ON-LINE TITRATIONS
BY FEEDBACK BASED FLOW RATIOOMETRY

BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION

- [001] This application claims the benefit of U.S. Provisional Application No. 60/212671, filed June 20, 2000, the disclosure of which is hereby incorporated herein by reference.
- [002] The present invention relates, in general, to the field of volumetric analysis, and more particularly to flow titration utilizing feedback-based flow ramp reversal.
- [003] Titrimetry is one of the few classical analytical methods still in wide use, for the determination of both major and minor components (the latter most notably for the measurement of water by Karl Fisher titrations). Titrations are not limited to solutions. Indeed, the origin of titrimetry has been traced back to Geoffroy in 1729; he evaluated the quality of vinegar by noting the quantity of solid K_2CO_3 that could be added before effervescence ceased.
- [004] The foundation of volumetric analysis, as it is presently known, was laid by Gay-Lussac between 1824 and 1832. Mohr is especially credited for popularizing volumetric analysis, through the 1855 publication of his classic treatise on titrimetry. Compared to competing techniques, titrimetry exhibits excellent precision, convenience and affordability, but it is generally confined to a batch operation with slow throughput and requires significant amounts of sample and titrant. As long as the titrant concentration

is exactly known and volumetric ware needs no further calibration, true titrations require no calibration curve. This can be important in situations where it is difficult to prepare a pure standard solution of the analyte being titrated.

[005] In routine analytical laboratories, automated titrators, often coupled to robotic workstations, have largely replaced manual titration. The hardware and algorithms for end point detection and the consequent feedback to control the rate of titrant addition have become increasingly sophisticated. In the research laboratory, titrators have been greatly miniaturized and automated and titrations have been demonstrated down to the femtoliter scale. The intrinsic batchwise nature of this measurement has however, remained unaltered in such efforts.

[006] More than three decades ago, the paradigm of titrations from the volume to the flow domain was introduced. The basic arrangement involves two pumps; one pumps the sample at a constant rate while the other, a feedback based servo-controlled pump, delivers the titrant. The two streams are merged, and some desired property of the mixed stream (such as electrode potential) is measured by a suitable detector. In response to the detector output, the titrant pump changes speed and attempts to maintain the detector output at some constant level. This general system has been copied, reinvented, reconfigured, and (over)simplified numerous times since its original description, and linear titration plots have been shown to be possible.

[007] However, manual rather than feedback based control of the titrant pump is still being advocated, with finite pauses at discrete mixing ratios so as to allow the detector

output to reach the equilibrium value reflective of that mixing ratio. This generates a complete multipoint titration curve. Such seemingly retrograde movements highlight the fact that the same problem faced by batch mode autotitrators reappears in the flow domain, perhaps in a more aggravated fashion. In the batch titration mode, following incremental titrant addition, a finite mixing and detection time is necessary before a detector reading is meaningful. In flow titrations, aside from the above factors, the time for the fluid transport to the detector is additionally necessary after a flow rate change. Altogether, these contribute to the overall lag time between the equivalence composition (or some other desired set point) being first reached at the sample-titrant confluence point and this actually being registered by the detector. Mixing is a more problematic issue in flow systems because it is difficult to incorporate active mixing (magnetic stirrers etc.) without incurring additional mixing volume that increases the lag time further. Detector response time can also be factor. Optical detector response is rapid but potentiometric detection, especially with a glass electrode, can be slow. Because of the sigmoidal nature of most titration curves (near the end point the change in the detector output is most rapid), the existence of a finite lag time is particularly important. Autotitrators solve this problem by reducing titrant delivery speed in proportion to the rate of change of the detector output, even performing discrete small incremental additions near the end point for highest accuracy. There is no barrier to implementing the same methodology in the flow domain, to perform measurements with discrete changes in the flow ratio while waiting at each step for a steady state reading and with progressively smaller changes as the end point is approached. Either this approach or the concept of holding the system at the end point in a steady state under

feedback control has the virtue that they are true titrations. As long as the pumps are calibrated (in much the same way that volumetric ware used in conventional titrations have implicit calibrations), no further system calibration with samples of known concentration is needed. They have, however, the considerable disadvantages of a substantial time (>5 min) and sample/titrant consumption per titration. For applications as on-line process titrators, the significant time involved can cause a real problem in streams with fast-changing compositions.

- [008] Many ingenious and innovative approaches to solving these problems have emerged. The detector output has been used as the index to perform a half-interval search in the sample:titrant ratio to attain the equivalence point with excellent precision in under 3 min per titration. With air bubbles at each end of a trial mixture to isolate it from the next mixture (monosegmented flow), the method could be used for even slow detectors without a major increase in the time spent.
- [009] In such a binary approach to attain the end point, a maximum of n compositional trials are necessary for a desired accuracy of 1 part in 2^n . One approach is to use two variable speed pumps, which alternate their roles as sample/titrant pumps with the help of two 3-way valves. A titration is performed one way and then the pumps change their role to perform the titration again and an average of the two compensates for the lag time. Further, the temporal flow profile for the pumps are exponential, rather than linear, to provide better accuracy.

[0010] There are also other techniques, even though they are not true titrations in that calibration is required, such as concentration gradients in the titrant, rather than a flow gradient; such gradients can be generated by exponential mixing or by electrochemical generation of the titrant.

[0011] In more recent years, flow injection titrations have been introduced. In this approach, the width of an injected response peak at some set point, often the equivalence point, is measured and is linearly proportional to the logarithm of the injected concentration. Although gradient dilution chambers are advocated, they are not essential. While logarithmic response permits a large dynamic range, precision is limited. To get 1% accuracy, analysis time can be several min per sample and changes in sample viscosity can compromise accuracy. Instead, using the configuration of Figure 1, the time to reach a titration end point, beginning from a zero titrant flow, as deduced from an indicator color change occurring as a result of a linear change in titrant flow rate, is linearly related to the sample concentration. This may be a more attractive approach in terms of time and precision. Further, for the purist, it is more likely to qualify as a titration. However, the problems of reducing the time for carrying out an accurate titration still remains.

SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

[0012] The present invention is directed to a new paradigm for continuous flow titrations by feedback-based flow ratiometry in which the lag time between sample-titrant confluence and detection of the status of the titration is made constant. The error in

measuring the titration results due to a lag time, whether the lag is due to the resident time in the mixer or is due to the detector response time, is continuously compensated for by averaging rapid backward and forward titrations.

[0013] In accordance with the invention, continuous on-line titrations are based on feedback-controlled flow-ratiometry where the ratio of sample flow to titrant flow is held equal to the ratio of sample concentration to titrant concentration, and on the principle of compensating errors. System and methods operating under these principles have been thoroughly tested by applying them to acid-base neutralization titrations with indicator-based end-point detection, and will be described in terms of such tests for convenience. However, it will be understood that the invention is not limited to the titration of these particular samples or titrants. In a typical case, a total flow F_T , consisting of sample and titrant flows, is held constant while the titrant flow F_B varies linearly in response to a controller output voltage. The sample (e.g., an acidic solution to be titrated) flow F_A constitutes the makeup (the difference between the titrant flow F_B and the total flow F_T) and is added to the titrant at a point of confluence to provide the mixed stream F_T . Thus when the titrant flow is varied the sample flow also varies in the reverse direction ($F_A = F_T - F_B$). The status of the mixed stream F_T is monitored by a detector and used either for governing the controller output or for interpreting the results of the titration. The titrant may be a standard base solution containing an indicator, in which case the detector may be an optical detector. However, it will be understood that other titrant solutions may be used to vary the properties of the mixed stream, with the detector being appropriate to the property to be detected.

[0014] Three methods of control were tested: a PID based control, a fixed flow rate control and a feedback-based flow rate control, with the last-named method being the preferred embodiment of this invention. In this latter approach, the titrant flow is initially ramped upward in accordance with a preselected flow rate pattern. At the instant a change in a selected property of the mixed stream is sensed by the detector, the actual titrant flow rate F_H (which is produced by the upwardly ramping flow control signal) is higher than the true equivalence flow rate F_E because of the lag time between the occurrence of the first property change and its detection. The sensing of the change in property is used to cause the system controller output to immediately reverse its ramp direction so that the titrant flow is ramped downwardly in accordance with the same flow rate pattern. At the instant another change in property, in the opposite direction this time, is detected, the titrant flow rate F_L (produced by the downwardly ramping flow rate control signal) is lower than F_E by exactly the same amount that F_H was higher than F_E . This is the principle of compensating errors ($F_E = (F_H + F_L)/2$) which allows true titrations with excellent reproducibility and speed (0.6% rsd @ 3 s/titration and 0.2% rsd @10 s/titration), and reduced titrant volume consumption (as little as 12 μ L/titration), and which solves an old conceptual problem in flow-based titration.

BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF DRAWINGS

[0015] The foregoing, and additional objects, features and advantages of the present invention will become apparent to those of skill in the art from the following detailed description of preferred embodiments, taken with the accompanying drawings, in which:

- [0016] Fig. 1 is a diagrammatic illustration of a number of prior titration systems;
- [0017] Fig. 2 is a diagrammatic illustration of a titration system in accordance with the present invention;
- [0018] Fig. 3 is a diagrammatic illustration of a system for varying the sample concentration in the system of Fig. 2;
- [0019] Fig. 4 illustrates controller output vs time for the system of Fig 3 using a PID flow rate controller;
- [0020] Fig 5. Illustrates controller output vs time for a triangular wave flow rate controller, showing detector output D_{out} ;
- [0021] Fig. 6 illustrates, in graphs (a) and (b) the detector output vs controller voltage for a triangular wave flow rate controller over 10 titrations each of a sample of (a) 50 mM and (b) 100 mM of HCl;
- [0022] Fig. 7 illustrates, in graphical form, the voltage output vs time for a feedback-based controller in accordance with the present invention, having a triangular flow rate control voltage;
- [0023] Fig. 8 is a graphical illustration of the feedback-based triangular flow rate control method of the invention utilizing a continuous change in analyte concentration, illustrating in graph (a) function generator output FG_{out} that governs the analyte concentration and controller output V_C vs. time, and illustrating in graph (b) the reciprocal of the controller output at equivalence ($1/V_E$), which tracks the function generator output; and
- [0024] Fig. 9 is a graphical illustration of the controller output vs detector output for two different analyte concentrations in a feedback-based triangular wave control method

in accordance with one embodiment of the invention.

DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF PREFERRED EMBODIMENTS

[0025] As previously described, Fig. 1 illustrates the known Blaedel-Laessig titration configuration 10, wherein a sample S is supplied by a pump 12 to a mixing coil MC through a supply line 14. The titrant T is supplied to mixing coil MC through a variable pump 16 and line 18, and a detector D measures property changes in a mixed stream in flow line 20 from the mixing coil, with the waste fluid W flowing from the detector by way of outlet line 22.

[0026] The basic flow diagram of a titration system 30 in accordance with the present invention is shown in Fig. 2, wherein a variable titrant pump 32 supplies a titrant T through an output line 34 to produce a flow F_B to a mixing reactor MR. A sample source S supplies a sample through line 36 to produce flow F_A , with the titrant flow on line 34 aspirating the sample at junction 38 and carrying it to the mixing reactor MR. In prior configurations, variations in pump flow rates resulted in variations in total flow rate and a fixed hardware arrangement produced corresponding variations in the lag time between sample titrant confluence at function 38 and subsequent detection. To overcome this variation, the configuration of Fig. 2 includes a pump 40 which keeps the total flow rate F_T from the reactor MR constant, and includes a flow rate controller (to be described) for titrant pump 32. The flow rate F_B of the pump 32 is adjusted to be equal to or just below F_T . The sample flow rate F_A represents the difference between

F_T and the titrant flow rate F_B . Since the mixed stream F_T from pump 40 to reactor MR on line 42 varies between 100% sample and 100% titrant, it is possible in principle to titrate a sample of any concentration with a titrant of any concentration. However, to obtain good precision and accuracy, a judicious choice of titrant concentration is appropriate, based on the sample concentration.

[0027] In experiments, the sample concentration was varied as a function of time using the arrangement illustrated in Fig. 3. A ramp generator 50, such as a Tektronix FG 504 function generator, was connected to a voltage-controlled pump 52 to vary the flow of water in line 54 to dilute a constant flow of a sample stream on line 56 provided by a constant rate pump 57. The water and the sample were mixed at a mixing coil MC to provide a mixed stream on line 58. Part of this mixed stream on line 58 was aspirated through the sample aspiration line 36 shown in Figure 2, while the rest was allowed to go to waste (W).

[0028] Variable speed pumps such as Gilson Minipuls 2 or Rainin Rabbit-Plus/Dynamax pumps, having 10 stainless steel rollers, were used for the pumping needs. The variable pumps 16, 32 and 52 may be externally voltage-controlled with a 0-5 V DC analog input. For good mixing without significant residence time, single bead string reactors MR_1 and MR_2 , each incorporating, for example, a single strand chain of beads with an average bead diameter of 0.5 mm, were contained in a tube having 0.81 i.d. and 25 mm long, were used on both the inlet and outlet of the final pump 40, illustrated in Fig. 2. Residence time for mixing is less critical in the system of Fig. 3,

so a mixing coil MC (600 mm x 0.66 mm i.d.) indicated at 59, suffices for this purpose. Except as stated, 0.51 mm i.d. Pharmed pump tubes, or lines, were used throughout, with the total flow rate F_T held constant at 1.9 mL/min.

[0029] Although changes in various properties of the total flow may be measured to determine titration end points, the use of indicators in the titrant to change the color of the sample is common, and the following description of tests of the present invention will be in terms of such indicators in combination with optical detectors. It will be understood, however, that the invention is not limited to such indicators or to optical detection methods, but is equally applicable to, for example, potentiometric or conductometric detection methods. In one example of the invention, a conventional indicator was premixed in the titrant T and the optical absorption of the indicator present in the mixed stream F_T was measured by a simple on-tube Light Emitting Diode (LED)-photodiode (PD) based detector 62. The detector 62 used in the experiment described above consisted of a 1/4-28 threaded male-male union with a center partition made for chromatography (P/N 39056, Dionex) with an LED 64 emitting at 605 nm (P/N HAA5566X, Stanley Electric, Tokyo) on one side and a silicon photodiode 66 (PD, P/N BPW 34, Siemens) on the other. transparent tubing 68 of FEP Teflon (0.8 mm i.d., 1.2 mm o.d.) carrying the mixed stream F_T passed between LED 64 and PD 66 in a perpendicular fashion. The PD produced an output 70 which was supplied to a current amplifier 72 (Amp, Model 427, Keithley) which typically was set at its minimum response time of 10 μ s, and the resulting amplifier output voltage on line 74, which was

- linearly related to the optical transmittance of tubing 68 and its contents, was supplied to a controller 76.

[0030] Two different controllers were utilized in the above-described experiments. The first controller was a commercially available PID type process controller (Omega CN76160), while the second incorporated a personal computer (PC)-based system with a control algorithm written as described below. As an intermediate step in operating the latter system, a function generator (Tektronix FG 504) was used in place of the PC based system to ramp the flow produced by the titrant pump 32 up and down, in a blind fashion. The output from the amplifier 72 and from controller 76, as well as other operating parameters were acquired on a PC using a 12-bit data acquisition card (DAS-1601, Keithley/Metabyte). In the case of the fully PC-based system, a PCMCIA card (PCM-DAS16D/12AO 12-bit A/D, D/A, Computerboards Inc., Middleboro, MA) housed in a Pentium II- class notebook computer (Latitude, Dell Computer Corp.) was used. Commercially available reagents of analytical reagent grade (indicator grade for indicators) were used without further purification in the task of the present invention.

[0031] Tests were carried out using the configuration of Fig. 2. In this configuration, at the equivalence point of the titration the following equation will hold:

$$C_A (F_T - F_E) = C_B F_E \quad (\text{Eq. 1})$$

where C_A and C_B represent the concentration of sample and titrant respectively, and F_T

is the invariant total flow rate of the system. The titrant flow rate F_B is designated F_E at the equivalence point. In these tests, generally the sample was an acid and the titrant was a standard base solution already containing an indicator. The value of F_B was linearly related to the output voltage V_C from the controller 76. Thus, Eq. 1 is rewritten as follows:

$$C_A (F_T - kV_E) = C_B kV_E \quad (\text{Eq. 2})$$

where k is a constant of proportionality, kV_E is equal to F_E , and V_E is the value of V_C at the equivalence point. Therefore, $1/V_E$ is proportional to $1/C_A$ in the configuration shown in Fig. 2, all other terms in the following equation being constant:

$$(V_E)^{-1} = (kC_B/F_T)(C_A)^{-1} + k/F_T \quad (\text{Eq. 3})$$

- [0032] Proportional Integral Derivative (PID) controllers are widely used for control of temperature, pressure and other process parameters, and many controllers of this type are commercially available. In a test, such a controller was connected to maintain the system of Fig. 2 at such a titrant flow rate that the mixed stream F_T was exactly neutralized in a strong acid-strong base titration. The titrant was 100 mM NaOH containing 0.2 mM bromthymol blue (BTB); sample: 50-200 mM HCl. There was no buffer capacity at the equivalence point except for that provided by the indicator, and a very slight deviation caused an indicator color change. The detector output at 74 was

measured with the mixed stream distinctly acidic, where the indicator was completely yellow, and distinctly basic where the indicator was completely blue, and the controller was set to maintain the detector output at the midpoint of these acidic and basic values. Under these conditions, if steady state control can be achieved, V_C will directly reflect analyte concentration since F_B is linearly related to V_C .

[0033] Self-tuning abilities are provided in most modern microprocessor based PID controllers to find optimum values of P (gain), I (bias) and D (time constant) to maintain good control. For the present system, where the detector output is practically bistable with a sharp transition between two states (indicator blue and indicator yellow), self-tuning was ineffective to maintain control. The controller output oscillated between its highest and lowest permitted values. Manual settings of the PID values led to better results; the control voltages for two runs is shown in Fig. 4 at graphs 80 and 82. In graph 80, the PID values were set at P = 25, I = 0.1 and D = off, and the controller output was obtained as the HCl concentration in the sample was varied from 50 mM to 200 mM and back to 5 mM in seven steps, illustrated at points 1a to 1d. In the test, step 1a = 50 mM, step 1b = 100 mM, step 1c = 150 mM, and step 1d = 200 mM. In graph 82, the same steps in sample concentration were used, at 2a - 2d, with the PID values set at P = 100, I = 0.1 and D = 0.01.

[0034] It was found that some degree of control was possible with high P and low D values. With an increase of P value, oscillation became less significant, but it took a longer time for the system to stabilize at the set point. No significant improvements were observed by using greater time constants for the detector to reduce detector

noise, or by reducing lag time by placing the detector on the aspiration side of the final pump 40 (Fig.2). It was also found that the degree of oscillation for the same sample concentration can be unpredictable, as illustrated by graphs 80 and 82. More importantly, if the midpoint of each oscillation is V_E , the relative standard deviations would be significant. Accordingly, PID control is not particularly well suited for maintaining a system at the equivalence point where the rate of change is very steep near the set point.

[0035] The foregoing difficulty can be overcome, in accordance with the preferred form of the present invention, by scanning the titrant flow in the vicinity of the equivalence point, without attempting to keep the mixed effluent at equivalence. If the titrant flow is being ramped upwards linearly, for example, at the instant a change in the color is sensed by the detector, the titrant flow rate F_H is higher than the true equivalence flow rate F_E because of the lag between the time equivalence is reached in the mixing reactor MR and the time the mixed liquid reaches the detector, for during that time lag, the titrant flow continues to increase. Calibration of an entire system can include implicitly taking into account this lag time. F_E can also be expressed as:

$$F_E = kV_E = F_H - rt_{lag} \quad (\text{Eq. 4})$$

Where r is the ramp rate (dF_B/dt) and t_{lag} , the lag time, is a combination of the physical transit time from the confluence point and the detector response time. There may be nothing wrong with calibrating the system with standards for subsequent assays except

that it ceases to be a true titration; calibrations beyond flow rates are required. This may be a nontrivial issue; if a system is calibrated for use with one set point, it may have to be calibrated again for use with another set point, since for example, the precise response times of a glass electrode varies depending on the pH regime.

[0036] If, however, the titrant flow F_B is then decreased from its high value at the same ramp rate r , and the instant of a change in color, in the opposite direction this time, is again sensed, the measured titrant flow rate F_L will be lower than F_E , in a mirror image fashion of the previous situation:

$$F_E = KV_E = F_L + rt_{lag} \quad (\text{Eq. 5})$$

F_E may then be calculated from Eqs. 4 and 5 without the use of system calibrations and without knowing the specific values of r and t_{lag} , thus permitting true titrations:

$$F_E = (F_H + F_L)/2 \quad (\text{Eq. 6})$$

[0037] We refer to this herein as the principle of compensating errors.

[0038] This concept was tested using a controller 76 that is simply a generator producing a triangular wave V_C , where the triangular wave is used to control F_B , as illustrated at curve 84 in Fig. 5. Actual data output, utilizing the sample titrant described above, with a 100 mM HCl, at a ramp rate of 100 mV/s is shown in Fig. 5. In response to the triangular wave V_C controlling the titrant flow, the detector output D_{out} on line 74, illustrated at 86 in Fig. 5, basically executes a rectangular wave pattern. The yellow

form of the indicator had practically no absorption at the monitoring wavelength. Thus at the high end, D_{out} is flat. On the other hand, even after the indicator turns blue, further increase of F_B brings still more indicator in the system, since the indicator is incorporated in the titrant, and at the low end, D_{out} executes a shallow V, with the bottom of the V being approximately temporally coincident with the apex of V_C , the difference being the lag time, t_{lag} .

[0039] The effect of the ramp rate r was examined at constant scan limits (1.0 to 4.5 V, 20% - 90% of maximum pump rate) using the same NaOH-HCl system as in the previous paragraph. Pump flow rates were calibrated so that the correct value of F_E (or V_E) would be known a priori. At low to moderate values of r (0.0302, 0.0607 and 0.1203 V/s), V_E values obtained were in excellent agreement with the expected true value and exhibited good precision, with a relative standard deviation (RSD) of less than 1%). However, at high scan rates (0.24 V/s), the experimental V_E values were inaccurate. Under these conditions, and with the t_{lag} in the system used for these tests, V_C reached its limits before a transition in D_{out} was detected.

[0040] Since the number of titrations that can be conducted per unit time increases with increasing the ramp rate, or scan rate, r , further experiments were conducted with $r = 0.100$ V/s. HCl solutions (50, 75, 125, 150 and 200 mM) as the sample were measured with fixed scan limits of 1.0 to 5.0 V. Plotting the resulting data ($1/V_E$ vs. $1/\text{CHCl}$) exhibited good linearity (linear $r^2 0.9976$). A plot of D_{out} as a function of V_C is shown in Fig. 6 for 10 titrations each of (a) 50 mM (graph 88) and (b) 100 mM HCl (graph 90). V_E essentially represents the abscissa value corresponding to the center of mass of the

parallelogram of each graph. Compared to extant literature methods, this approach is quite competitive (80 s/cycle, <1% precision), but Figure 6 also shows very clearly that the scheme results in large amounts of time being spent in a useless manner. For example, in graph 88, the system unnecessarily scans in the VC_3 to 5 V range and similarly it spends unnecessary time in the titration of graph 90 in the VC , to 3 V range.

[0041] A more efficient method than the one described above, and the preferred embodiment of the invention, involves reversing the direction of the titrant pump as soon as the equivalence point is crossed. This is accomplished by sensing the detector output and changing the direction of the control voltage V_C as soon as some preset threshold in D_{out} is crossed. Other standard endpoint functions based on the first or second derivatives of D_{out} can also be implemented, if desired. Both the principle and the results are illustrated in Fig. 7, where graph 92 is the controller 76 output V_C and graph 94 is the detector voltage D_{out} to the controller 76, by using the same titrant and sample as in Fig. 5. In contrast to the previous method, controller scan limits are not fixed but are, in effect, V_H and V_L and thus vary with the concentration of the analyte. As soon as D_{out} indicates an alkaline mixture, where V_H has been reached, the controller output V_C is ramped downward. When D_{out} indicates an acidic mixture, where V_L has been reached, controller output V_C is immediately ramped upward. The resulting V_C waveform 92 has a constant frequency of $(4t_{lag})^{-1}$ and displays an amplitude of $2t_{lag}$. Note that these properties of the V_C waveform are independent of the analyte concentration.

[0042] The frequency and amplitude properties of the controller output waveform suggest the possibilities of diagnosing and/or compensating for flow inconstancies, whereas the DC component of the controller output V_C is related to the analyte concentration. This DC bias moves up or down as the concentration of the analyte increases or decreases. The detector setpoint ($D_{out, high}$) at which V_C begins a downward ramp does not have to be the same as the detector setpoint ($D_{out, low}$) at which the ramp goes back up. This is of practical importance since all real signals contain some noise. When these points are set identically, false triggering, such as premature ramp reversal in either direction, can and will occur. To avoid such problems, $D_{out, high}$, should differ from $D_{out, low}$, by at least 2 times the detector noise. Because the transition is very steep, it makes no real difference in the ultimate results in V_E .

[0043] For a discrete sample, at least one V_H and one V_L value are necessary to compute V_E . However, when the system is being applied continuously to a flowing stream, V_E values will be computed by averaging the most recent V_H or V_L value with the immediately preceding V_L or V_H value. Since the period of the V_C waveform is directly dependent on the lag time of the system, t_{lag} , it is essential to reduce it to improve throughput, but t_{lag} cannot be reduced indefinitely without affecting the completeness of mixing and thus increasing detector noise and decreasing system reliability. These interrelated issues are of critical importance.

[0044] The effects of positioning the detector 62 upstream or downstream of the final pump 40 and of the type and the size of the reactors MR_1 and MR_2 (for example, knotted tubing, single bead string reactor, or a porous frit-tee) at various scan rates and sample

(HCl)concentrations were examined, and a representative set of results is presented in Table I.

Table I. Effect of detector position and reactor type

Detector Position	D ^a	D	D	D	D	U ^b	U
Reactor	none	KT ^c	KT	KT	two SBSR ^d	SBSR	SBSR
Reactor length, cm	NA ^e	15.0	30.0	60.0	2 x 2.5	2.5	10.0
Titration time, s	10.4	12.6	15.5	20.1	10.2	3.2	4.6
% RSD of V _E , (n=20)	0.32	0.46	0.40	0.35	0.22	0.56	0.76

^a Downstream of final pump. ^b Upstream of final pump. ^c Knotted tubing, 0.81 mm i.d..

^d Single bead string reactor. ^e Not Applicable.

Titrant: 100 mM NaOH containing mM BTB, sample 100 mM HCl, r = 0.100V/s

[0045] It was possible to reduce titration time to as little as 3.2 s with a small penalty to the precision in V_E (RSD 0.56 %) by locating the detector upstream of pump 40 (Fig. 3). This loss of precision (although acceptable for many purposes) was attributable to increased detector noise since the active mixing provided by the pump tubing was no longer available. To improve pump induced mixing, a combination of high pump rotation rates and small bore pump tubing, rather than large bore tubing and lower rotation rates, was chosen for the desired flow rate regime. A porous frit (pore size 90-130µm) - tee proved to be incompatible with peristaltic pumps because of its substantial resistance to flow.

[0046] The effect of changing the ramp rate r for controller 76 was examined over the

0.010 - 0.200 V/s range with the same titrant-sample combination as used in Table I.

Table II provides a summary of the results.

Table II. Effect of Scan Rate

Scan rate, V/s	V _H	SD _{VH} ^a	V _L	SD _{VL} ^a	V _E	SD _{VE} ^a	% RSD _{VE}	Titration Time, s
0.01	3.49	0.01	3.35	0.02	3.42	0.01	0.40	14.3
0.025	3.52	0.02	3.24	0.02	3.38	0.01	0.43	11.6
0.05	3.68	0.02	3.14	0.01	3.41	0.01	0.35	10.8
0.075	3.80	0.01	3.03	0.01	3.41	0.01	0.26	10.35
0.1	3.94	0.01	2.92	0.01	3.43	0.01	0.23	10.2
0.15	4.16	0.03	2.70	0.02	3.43	0.02	0.48	9.8
0.2	4.39	0.02	2.48	0.03	3.44	0.02	0.44	9.6

^a Standard deviations of preceding parameters. Wherever applicable, the units are volts.

[0047] In principle, the scan rate (or ramp rate) r should not have a direct influence on the titration time. This was at least approximately true; a 20-fold increase in r resulted in only a 33% decrease of the titration time. The limited effect of r on the titration time that was observed is a practical consequence of a finite mixing and detection volume. The V_E values were virtually constant irrespective of the scan rate and the observed range at different scan rates was within 0.7% of the mean, and this range included the

independently determined true value. V_H and V_L increasingly diverge from V_E as r increases, in accordance with equations 4 and 5. A plot of V_H vs. r should thus have V_E as the intercept and a slope equal to t_{lag} . The data in Table II yields a value of V_E = 3.430 V, a lag time t_{lag} = 4.87s, and a linear r^2 value of 0.9979. Similarly, a plot of V_L vs. r exhibits a linear r^2 value of 0.9979, an extrapolated V_E of 3.366 V, and yields t_{lag} = 4.47s. This range of V_E is almost the same as the range of V_E values observed by numerical averaging of successive V_H and V_L values at individual scan rates, lending additional credibility to the theoretical basis of the present invention.

[0048] Although the scan rate was not a major factor in determining the titration time for constant or very slowly changing sample concentrations, a higher scan rate will reach V_H or V_L values more rapidly when the sample concentration changes quickly. However, a very fast scan may result in V_C reaching the scan limits before a transition in D_{out} is detected (as was noted above for a function generator controlled operation of the system without feedback, for $r > 0.24$ V/s.) In addition, a slower scan may improve the accuracy and precision in determining V_E . In looking at the % RSD values for V_E , the RSD values increase at $r > 0.100$ V/s and also increase at $r < 0.05$ V/s. It was concluded that the 0.05-0.100 V/s, which corresponds to 1%-2%/s of the maximum possible pumping rate, is the best range in which to operate.

[0049] Although the herein-described experiments utilize a linear scan rate r produced by a triangular wave output from controller 76, it will be understood that not only can this scan rate be changed, it can also be varied during the scan to produce control voltages having different waveforms, with different increasing and decreasing slopes. Thus, the invention is not limited to a particular controller output pattern, or wave shape.

[0050] For virtually any process application, the sample consumption rate is not particularly important, whereas minimizing the titrant consumption is highly desirable since this decreases the replacement frequency of a reagent that must be carefully made. The utility of more concentrated NaOH solutions as titrant, in conjunction with smaller diameter (0.25 and 0.44 mm) pump tubes in addition to the original 0.51 mm diameter tube used in all the above experiments was, therefore, examined. Typical results are presented in Table III.

Table III. Effect of Titrant (NaOH) Concentrate^a

Tubing i.d., mm	Nominal C _B , M	V _H	SD _{VH} ^b	V _L	SD _{VL}	V _E	SD _{VE}	% RSD _{VE}	Titration Time, s
0.25	0.5	4.65	0.0148	3.62	0.0170	4.14	0.0112	0.27	10.35
0.25	1.0	2.76	0.0115	1.70	0.0116	2.23	0.0081	0.36	10.55
0.25	2.5	1.43	0.0187	0.37	0.0134	0.90	0.0115	1.28	10.60
0.44	0.5	2.02	0.0095	0.96	0.0084	1.49	0.0063	0.42	10.50
0.44	1.0	1.31	0.0071	0.27	0.0091	0.79	0.0057	0.72	10.40
0.51	0.1	3.81	0.0084	2.80	0.0132	3.30	0.0078	0.24	10.10
0.51	0.5	1.57	0.0130	0.58	0.0112	1.07	0.0085	0.79	9.90
0.51	1.0	1.01	0.0055	0.05	0.0098	0.56	0.0056	1.01	10.15

^a 0.1 M HCl is sample, r = 0.100 V/s.

^b Standard deviations of preceding parameters. Wherever applicable, the units are Volts.

[0051] A combination of low C_B and narrow tubing is insufficient to titrate the sample and was not tested. Likewise, a combination of high C_B and wider bore tubes is impractical. In general, the absolute standard deviations decreased with an increase

in NaOH concentration. However, not surprisingly, the relative standard deviation increased with increasing C_B because V_E decreased more significantly compared to the standard deviation (SDV_E) in V_E . Nevertheless, the use of a small diameter (0.25 mm i.d.) tube allowed the relative standard deviation of V_E (RSDVE) for titrations using 500 and 1000 mM NaOH to be kept well below 0.5%. Similar results, not shown, were obtained for a scan rate of 0.050 V/s. By using 1000 mM NaOH instead of 100 mM NaOH, the titrant consumption could be reduced to 18 % of the original value.

[0052] Note that in the configuration of Fig.2, the average volumetric consumption of the titrant (which is assumed to be linearly related to F_E) is linearly related to $1/(1 + C_B/C_A)$. (See Equation 1). While large gains are made initially in F_B consumption with increasing C_B , there are diminishing returns on an absolute scale at higher and higher titrant concentrations. Nevertheless, it is remarkable that with a titrant concentration 25 times that of the sample, it is still possible to perform titrations with a precision only slightly over 1%, at only ~10 s/titration and consuming 11.7 $\mu\text{L}/\text{titration}$. The precision at very high titrant/sample concentration ratios may be improved by using even smaller diameter pump tubes.

[0053] To monitor compositional changes in a stream accurately using this technique, it must be possible to carry out each forward and backward titration at a much faster rate than the rate at which the stream is changing composition.

[0054] A process stream in which the reciprocal of analyte (HCl) concentration changed linearly with time was created by the system depicted in Fig. 3. The dilution flow to a constant flow stream of an acidic analyte was increased linearly with time by a slow triangular wave output (FG_{out}) of function generator 50. With a FG_{out} cycle time of 93.67

min, the minimum and maximum analyte concentrations were 50 and 180 mM. Since the entire range is spanned within one half cycle, this means the analyte concentration varied by almost a factor of four in a period of ~45 min. This degree of change more than adequately represents the maximum change that occurs for a critical and major component in a real process stream. Six hundred and eight titrations were made within one cycle of the function generator 50, resulting in an average titration time requirement of <9.2 s per measurement. Graph (a) of Fig. 8 shows V_c (curve 94) and FG_{out} as a function of time. The reciprocal of V_E is shown similarly as a function of time in graph (b) of Fig. 8 at curve 98, and this linearly tracks FG_{out} as would be expected from theory.

[0055] The system of the present invention was applied to a number of acid-base neutralization titrations in addition to HCl-NaOH: for example CH_3COOH -NaOH, H_3PO_4 -NaOH and $\text{NH}_3(\text{aq})$ -HCl. Indicators were selected that not only have a pK_{in} (indicator dissociation constant) value in the desired range but also that are blue in one form (basic) so that the 605 nm LED detector 62 could be used without any further modification. For H_3PO_4 , titrations both at the first and second equivalence points were carried out using a separate indicator for each. The results are summarized in Table IV.

Table IV. Results for Different Acid-Base Neutralization Reactions

Sample	Titrant ^a	Indicator	Scan Rate V/s	Measurement range, mM	Number of Individual Concerns Examined	Linear r ²
HCl	NaOH	BTB ^b	0.05	50 - 200	6	0.9989
HCl	NaOH	BTB	0.1	50 - 200	6	0.9991
CH ₃ COOH	NaOH	TB ^c	0.05	25 - 200	7	0.9994
CH ₃ COOH	NaOH	TB	0.1	25 - 200	7	0.9994
H ₃ PO ₄	NaOH	BCG ^d	0.05	12.5 - 200	9	1.0000
H ₃ PO ₄	NaOH	BCG	0.1	12.5 - 200	9	0.9996
H ₃ PO ₄	NaOH	TP ^e	0.05	12.5 - 125	9	1.0000
H ₃ PO ₄	NaOH	TP	0.1	12.5 - 100	9	1.0000
NH ₃ (aq)	HCl	BCG	0.05	25 - 200	7	0.9999
	HCl	BCG	0.1	25 - 200	7	0.9999

^a 100 mM contg. 200 uM indicator. ^b Bromothymol Blue. ^c Thymol Blue.

^d Bromocresol Green. ^e Thymolphthalein

[0056] The linearity refers to a plot of $1/V_E$ vs. the reciprocal of the analyte concentration.

[0057] Illustrative V_C vs. D_{out} plots 100 and 102 for two different HCl concentrations are shown in Fig 9, with the data set for each plot representing a total of 20 titrations. A comparison with Figure 6 clearly indicates the substantial

superiority of the feedback-based approach. Note that these titrations were conducted with identical upward and downward ramp reversal set points. Considering that these plots are very data dense (each plot contains~8500 actual plotted points and the data file size for each plot exceeds 1 MB), the number of errant points are remarkably few.

[0058] The error compensated feedback based flow ratiometric titration method described here displays good precision coupled to unprecedented speed. It will be ideally coupled to continuously flowing streams, whether for measurement or control, in a variety of situations. It will permit the use of universal indicators and multiple wavelength detection for determining multiple analytes per titration for indicator based detection, and is applicable to other detection methods, such as detectors using pH electrodes. A pH electrode responds more slowly, increasing t_{lag} , but on an absolute scale, the measurement rate is still quite fast, requiring < 15 s per titration.

[0059] Although the present invention has been described in terms of preferred embodiments, various modifications and variations will be apparent to those of skill in the art. Accordingly, the true scope and spirit of the invention is limited only by the following claims.